Implementation Research Logic Model (IRLM)

IRLM – Determinants of Implementation Worksheet

Smith, Rafferty, & Li, 2020



Determinants of implementation are constructs that have been associated with effective implementation. Often, researchers think of determinants as implementation barriers and facilitators, but they can also be mediators, moderators, predictors, and/or outcomes. One of the most comprehensive lists of determinants comes from the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR; Damschroder et al., 2009).

- 1. From the list of CFIR constructs below, place a checkmark (√) next to ones that may be germane to your project. It is important to capture all factors that may affect the implementation of your intervention.
- 2. Circle any determinants that your project may aim to change/alter.
- 3. For each determinant, operationalize it for your project and add it to your IRLM.

۷	Determinant	Definition
	Intervention Characteristics	
	Intervention source	Perception of key stakeholders about whether the intervention is externally or internally developed.
	Evidence strength and quality	Stakeholders' perceptions of the quality and validity of evidence supporting the belief that the intervention will have desired outcomes.
	Relative advantage	Stakeholders' perception of the advantage of implementing the intervention versus an alternative solution.
	Adaptability	The degree to which an intervention can be adapted, tailored, refined, or reinvented to meet local needs.
	Trialability	The ability to test the intervention on a small scale in the organization, and to be able to reverse course (undo implementation) if warranted.
	Complexity	Perceived difficulty of the intervention, reflected by duration, scope, radicalness, disruptiveness, centrality, and intricacy and number of steps required to implement.
	Design quality and packaging	Perceived excellence in how the intervention is bundled, presented, and assembled.
	Cost	Costs of the intervention and costs associated with implementing the intervention including investment, supply, and opportunity costs.
	Outer Setting	
	Patient needs and resources	The extent to which patient needs, as well as barriers and facilitators to meet those needs, are accurately known and prioritized by the organization.
	Cosmopolitanism	The degree to which an organization is networked with other external organizations.
	Peer pressure	Mimetic or competitive pressure to implement an intervention; typically because most or other key peer or competing organizations have already implemented or are in a bid for a competitive edge.
	External policies and incentives	A broad construct that includes external strategies to spread interventions, including policy and regulations (governmental or other central entity), external mandates, recommendations and guidelines, pay- for-performance, collaboratives, and public or benchmark reporting.

Inner Setting		
—	The social architecture, age, maturity, and size of an organization.	
	The nature and quality of webs of social networks and the nature and	
	quality of formal and informal communications within an organization.	
	Norms, values, and basic assumptions of a given organization.	
	The absorptive capacity for change, shared receptivity of involved	
-	individuals to an intervention, and the extent to which use of that	
	intervention will be rewarded, supported, and expected within their	
	organization.	
. ,		
-		
-	Tangible and immediate indicators of organizational commitment to its	
	decision to implement an intervention.	
-		
	Individuals' attitudes toward and value placed on the intervention as well	
—	as familiarity with facts, truths, and principles related to the intervention.	
	Characterization of the phase an individual is in, as he or she progresses	
individual stage of change	toward skilled, enthusiastic, and sustained use of the intervention.	
Self-efficacy	Individual belief in their own capabilities to execute courses of action to	
Self effectey	achieve implementation goals.	
Individual identification	A broad construct related to how individuals perceive the organization,	
	and their relationship and degree of commitment with that organization.	
-	A broad construct to include other personal traits such as tolerance of	
	ambiguity, intellectual ability, motivation, values, competence, capacity,	
	and learning style.	
Process		
	Attracting and involving appropriate individuals in the implementation	
	and use of the intervention through a combined strategy of social	
- Formal internal	marketing, education, role modeling, training, and other similar activities.	
implementation leaders		
-		
- External change agents		
Planning	The degree to which a scheme or method of behavior and tasks for	
_	implementing an intervention are developed in advance, and the quality	
	of those schemes or methods.	
Executing	Carrying out or accomplishing the implementation according to plan.	
=	Quantitative and qualitative feedback about the progress and quality of	
	implementation accompanied with regular personal and team debriefing	
	about progress and experience.	
	 Compatibility Relative priority Incentives & rewards Goals and feedback Learning climate Readiness for implementation Leadership engagement Available resources Access to knowledge Characteristics of Individuals Knowledge/beliefs about intervention Individual stage of change Self-efficacy Individual identification with the organization Other attributes Process Engaging Opinion leaders Formal internal implementation leaders Champions External change agents 	